

Autonomous yard operation blueprint

Deliverable D3.3 - Version Final – 2022-09-30



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under Grant Agreement N° 859992.



Document information

Title	D3.3 Autonomous yard operations
Classification	Confidential

Editors and main contributors	Company
Pia Vuorela (PVu)	Kalmar

Rev.	Who	Date	Comment
0.1	PVu	07.06.2022	Initial draft: high level table of content and introduction text
0.2	PVu	15.08.2022	The first release for the internal comments
0.3	PVu	30.08.2022	Add introduction, cloud-based system column, summary and conclusions
0.4	PVu	16.09.2022	Updates based on comments received from DTU added
0.5	PVu	22.09.2022	Updates to format based on SINTEF comments
0.6	PVu	27.09.2022	Content updates based on SINTEF comments, further format updates
0.7	PVu	29.09.2022	Updates to format mainly
Final	OEM	30.09.2022	Final revision to be submitted to EC

© 2020 AEGIS CONSORTIUM

This publication has been provided by members of the AEGIS consortium and is intended as input to the discussions on and development of new automated and autonomous waterborne transport systems. The content of the publication has been reviewed by the AEGIS participants but does not necessarily represent the views held or expressed by any individual member of the AEGIS consortium.

While the information contained in the document is believed to be accurate, AEGIS participants make no warranty of any kind with regard to this material including, but not limited to the implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. None of AEGIS participants, their officers, employees, or agents shall be responsible, liable in negligence, or otherwise howsoever in respect of any inaccuracy or omission herein. Without derogating from the generality of the foregoing neither of AEGIS participants, their officers, employees or agents shall be liable for any direct, indirect, or consequential loss or damage caused by or arising from any information advice or inaccuracy or omission herein.

The material in this publication is confidential and only for use by AEGIS project partners and in relation to the AEGIS project.



Table of Contents

- Summary 5
- Definitions and abbreviations 6
- 1 Introduction..... 7
 - 1.1 Relation to other AEGIS deliverables 7
 - 1.2 Relation to EU Horizon 2020 project..... 7
 - 1.3 Autonomous yard operations in small terminals, intro 8
 - 1.4 Container Terminal Automation - literature review 8
 - 1.5 Scope of this report 9
 - 1.6 Structure of this report..... 9
- 2 Automated equipment and systems high level technology descriptions 10
 - 2.1 Robotic Terminal Tractor..... 10
 - 2.1.1 Automation layers for terminal tractor 11
 - 2.1.2 High-level Architecture of robotic terminal tractor 12
 - 2.1.3 Terminal tractor robotics 13
 - 2.1.3.1 Operational design domain 13
 - 2.1.3.2 Dynamic driving Task..... 13
 - 2.1.3.3 Robotics Software Overview 13
 - 2.1.3.4 Map management and localization..... 15
 - 2.1.3.5 RoboTractor interactions with STS and RTG cranes..... 15
 - 2.2 Robotic Reachstacker 15
 - 2.2.1 Remotely controlled reachstacker 15
 - 2.2.2 Robotic reachstacker 17
- 3 Automation system high level description..... 18
 - 3.1 The Fleet Optimization Module..... 18
 - 3.2 The Fleet Controller Module 19
 - 3.3 The Inventory Manager Module 19
 - 3.4 The Fleet Operations Module..... 20
 - 3.5 The System Integration Module..... 22
 - 3.6 Cloud based system..... 22
- 4 Basic small container terminal automation blueprint scenarios 23
 - 4.1 Reachstacker terminal automation..... 23



4.2	A-to-B operations automation	23
4.3	Combined reach stacker terminal with A-to-B operations automation.....	24
5	Concept of automated operations by automated reachstackers	25
5.1	Blueprint of a small, automated reach stacker terminal.....	25
5.2	Reachstacker terminal example, use case A (Orkanger terminal)	28
5.2.1	Orkanger terminal in the Trondheimsfjord.....	28
5.2.2	Automation scenarios for the Orkanger terminal	29
5.2.2.1	Layout option 1.....	30
5.2.2.2	Layout option 2.....	31
5.2.2.3	Layout option 3.....	32
5.2.2.4	Layout option 4.....	33
5.2.2.5	Layout option 5.....	34
5.2.3	Conclusions for automation in the Orkanger terminal	35
5.3	Reachstacker terminal example, use case C (Port of Aalborg).....	35
5.3.1	Port of Aalborg in Denmark.....	35
5.3.2	Automation scenarios for Port of Aalborg	36
5.3.2.1	Layout Option 1	36
5.3.2.2	Layout option 2.....	37
5.3.2.3	Layout option 3.....	38
5.3.2.4	Layout option 4.....	39
5.3.3	New iteration round and proposed option	42
5.3.4	Conclusions for Port of Aalborg automation.....	43
5.3.5	Port of Aalborg, key design scenario in 3D views.....	43
6	Concept of automated operations by autonomous terminal tractors and autonomous reachstackers.....	46
6.1	Use case A (Hitra Kysthavn).....	46
6.2	High level considerations for automation for use case A (Hitra Kysthavn).....	46
6.2.1	Autonomous terminal tractor operations in Hitra Kysthavn.....	46
7	Concept of remotely and centrally operated cluster of automated small terminals	49
7.1	Example, use case A (Trondheimsfjord)	49
7.2	Minimum requirements for the remote control and operations centre	49
8	Concept of automated operations by automated terminal tractor operations, with a manual or automated RTG crane	51
8.1	Proposed layout for a robotic terminal tractor and a manual or automated RTGs yard.....	51
8.2	External truck flow and process	52



- 8.3 Robotic terminal tractor flow and interaction with a manual or automated RTG..... 53
 - 8.3.1 Robotic terminal tractor flow chart..... 53
 - 8.3.2 Robotic terminal tractor interaction with manual RTG..... 53
 - 8.3.3 Robotic terminal tractor interaction with an AutoRTG..... 54
- 9 Small automated terminal business case..... 55
- 10 Summary and conclusions..... 56
- 11 References..... 59



Summary

This document is the AEGIS deliverable D3.3, blueprint of “Autonomous Yard Operations”, and the third deliverable in Work Package 3 of the project. The objective of this deliverable is to describe the autonomous key operations for container handling possibilities at small ports from the terminal’s point of view. There are different types of small terminals and all of them are a bit different, but in this report the purpose is to present options on how container handling can be automated in a few main concepts. Additionally, some use case container terminals are presented as an example how in practice automation can be implemented.

Key elements in the automation solution are the equipment to be automated and the automation system. Additionally, some infrastructure elements like fences and access gates around the automation area, are required for automation in the terminal, especially for the safety reasons. However, this report also presents that the actual terminal operational process and layout needs to be designed first to support automation. With bad terminal operational processes and non-supporting layout in the terminal, the automation benefits are not visible or optimal and safety cannot be guaranteed.

In this report focus is on small terminals and typical equipment to be considered in the small terminals are terminal tractors and reachstackers. Therefore, in the AEGIS scope the focus of this report is to introduce automation for terminal tractors and reachstackers, as well as to propose an automation system (equipment control system) to run the fleet of automated equipment in small terminals. There are also typically cranes in the small terminals and this report also introduces the most common crane process; an automated terminal tractor working process with Rubber Tyred Gantry crane (RTG), automated terminal tractor working both with manual RTG and automated RTG.

The highest level of safety can be achieved where all people are removed from the automated areas, but by developing a robotic terminal tractor where the intelligence has been added on top of equipment, the mixed traffic operations can be implemented, and robotic terminal tractors can work in the same space with manual equipment, cars, and pedestrians.

For robotic reach stacker operations, this report is still suggesting a separate automation area, which is isolated from other traffic and pedestrians with safety fences. This generic automated reachstacker terminal concept is presented in this report as a blueprint.

The concrete automation examples in this report are small terminals both in use case A (in the fjord of Trondheim), Orkanger Terminal, and in use case C, Port of Aalborg container terminal. Those terminal operations have been investigated and automation solutions with new designs for automation are suggested.

One future use case can also be that cluster of small, automated terminals can be operated from one remote location instead of resourcing operations centres in all the terminals, from remote control and operations centre, to improve the business case. This concept is also presented in this report.

There is also a chapter included to remind about the business case for automation, listing the elements that need to be considered when calculating automation cases.

Some publicly available survey results also presented describing the benefits of automation realised already in the terminals which have introduced automation in their terminals.